Evaluation of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) challenge dose in vaccinated pigs

G. Haiwick, A. Neubauer, J. Hermann, M. Roof, B. Fergen, R. Philips Boehringer Ingelheim Vetmedica, Inc., Missouri, USA

## INTRODUCTION

The swine industry continues to experience significant losses due to PRRSV infections. The infectious dose of PRRSV has been shown to be very low, therefore highly infectious. The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of PRRSV challenge dose in vaccinated pigs.

#### Table 1: Study Design

Group	No. Inglevac PRRS® MLV Vaccinated Pigs	No. Non-vacci- nated Challenge Control Pigs	PRRSV SDSU-73 Challenge Dosage (Log10TCID <sub>50</sub> /ml)	Study Termination
	Day O	Day O	Day 28	Day 70
1	10	10	4	
2	10	10	3	
3	10	10	2	
4	10	10	1	
5	10	_	None	

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study was performed in ninety, three-week-old pigs from a PRRS naïve and PCR negative source. Groups 1-5 (n = 10) were vaccinated (Day 0) with Ingelvac PRRS® MLV (2 ml IM). Forty pigs served as non-vaccinated challenge controls (NVC-Groups 1-4; n = 10 per group). Groups 1-4 were challenged on Day 28 intranasally with 2.0 ml of virulent PRRSV SDSU-73 at 4log, 3log, 2log or  $1\log_{10}$ TCID<sub>50</sub> / ml, respectively. Group 5 was not challenged. Temperature (Day 28 – 42), viremia and ADWG (Day 28 – 70) were evaluated and statistically analyzed.

## RESULTS

At all challenge doses, Ingelvac PRRS<sup>®</sup> MLV vaccinated pigs demonstrated a significant decrease in days pyrexic compared to NVC

## Figure 1: Percentage of viremic pigs per treatment group challenged with 4 logs of PRRSV SDSU-73



groups (P < 0.05). At PRRSV challenge doses of  $\leq$  2logs, the average temperatures of the vaccinated challenged pigs were similar to Group 5. As compared to the NVC, there was a significant increase in ADWG (P < 0.05) of vaccinates in the 3, 2 and 1log groups, and at P < 0.07 in the 4log group. The ADWG of vaccinated groups challenged with  $\leq$  2logs of PRRSV was numerically similar to the ADWG of Group 5. There was a measurable negative impact on ADWG in the NVC groups with no difference across all challenge doses. Ingelvac PRRS<sup>®</sup> MLV vaccinated pigs demonstrated fewer percent PCR positive pigs than NVC pigs at all challenge doses. As the challenge dose decreased the percentage of viremic pigs in the vaccinated groups decreased, with viremia in vaccinated pigs challenged with  $\leq$  2logs of PRRSV similar to Group 5. At all challenge doses, the NVC pigs show similar post-challenge viremia profile.

# **DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

In this study, at all challenge doses, Ingelvac PRRS® MLV vaccinated pigs demonstrated mitigated biological consequences of a relevant PRRSV challenge, with a reduction in post-challenge viremia, temperature and increased ADWG as compared to NVC pigs. For all endpoints, minimal difference between 0, 1 and 2log challenge in vaccinated animals indicates a challenge dose effect. Based on challenge dose ( $\leq$  2logs), the consequences in vaccinated pigs were similar to non-challenged pigs. The post-challenge viremia and ADWG of NVC pigs were similar across all challenge doses, indicating no challenge dose effect and a measurable impact in unvaccinated pigs. Implementation of vaccine along with the other components for PRRS control can mitigate the consequences of PRRSV infection subsequently improving health and performance.

### Days

### Figure 2: Percentage of viremic pigs per treatment group challenged with 2 logs of PRRSV SDSU-73



#### Table 2: Mean Number Days Pyrexic Post-Challenge

Treatment Group	4 log challenge	3 log challenge	2 log challenge	1 log challenge	No- challenge
Ingelvac PRRS® MLV	4.4 <sup>1</sup>	4.2 <sup>1</sup>	1.0 <sup>1</sup>	<b>1</b> .4 <sup>1</sup>	1.8
Challenge Control (non-vaccinated)	11.2	8.8	10.0	6.0	-

<sup>1</sup>Statistically significant difference (P < 0.05) in number days pyrexic between Ingelvac PRRS® MLV and Challenge Control groups based on model prediction.

#### Table 2: Average daily weight gain in g/day (ADWG)

Treatment Group	4 log challenge	3 log challenge	2 log challenge	1 log challenge	No- challenge
Ingelvac PRRS® MLV	640	585 <sup>1</sup>	771 <sup>1</sup>	743 <sup>1</sup>	757
Challenge Control (non-vaccinated)	535	480	521	558	-

<sup>1</sup>Statistically significant difference (P < 0.05) in ADWG between Ingelvac PRRS® MLV and Challenge Control groups based on model prediction.





